

INVARIANTS OF $\mathcal{R}_V$ -EQUIVALENCEIMRAN AHMED¹ AND MARIA APARECIDA SOARES RUAS²

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ be the ring of germs of analytic functions $h : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Consider the analytic variety $V = \{x : f_1(x) = \dots = f_r(x) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n, 0$, where $f_1, \dots, f_r$ are germs of analytic functions. In this note we study function germs $h : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 0$ under the equivalence relation that preserves the analytic variety $V, 0$. We say that two germs $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 0$ are $\mathcal{R}_V$ -equivalent if there exists a germ of diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ with $\psi(V) = V$ and $h_1 \circ \psi = h_2$. That is,

$$\mathcal{R}_V = \{\psi \in \mathcal{R} : \psi(V) = V\}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the group of germs of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n, 0$.

We denote by θ_n the set of germs of tangent vector fields in $\mathbb{C}^n, 0$; θ_n is a free $\mathcal{O}_n$ module of rank n . Let $I(V)$ be the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_n$ consisting of germs of analytic functions vanishing on V . We denote by $\Theta_V = \{\eta \in \theta_n : \eta(I(V)) \subseteq I(V)\}$, the submodule of germs of vector fields tangent to V .

The tangent space to the action of the group $\mathcal{R}_V$ is $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) = dh(\Theta_V^0) = \mathcal{J}_h(\Theta_V^0)$, where Θ_V^0 is the submodule of Θ_V given by the vector fields that are zero at zero. When the point $x = 0$ is a stratum in the logarithmic stratification of the analytic variety, this is the case when V has an isolated singularity at the origin, see [3] for details, both spaces Θ_V and Θ_V^0 coincide.

The relative Milnor algebra $M_V(h)$ of h is defined by

$$M_V(h) = \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\mathcal{J}_h(\Theta_V^0)}.$$

When V is a weighted homogeneous variety, we can always choose weighted homogeneous generators for Θ_V . Moreover, it is finitely generated, see [4].

¹Supported by CNPq-TWAS, grant # FR 3240188100

²Partially supported by FAPESP, grant # 08/54222-6, and CNPq, grant # 301474/2005-2

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14E05, 32S30, 14L30 ; Secondary 14J17, 16W22.

Key words and phrases. relative Milnor algebra, $\mathcal{R}_V$ -equivalence, quasihomogeneous polynomial.

We recall Mather's Lemma 4.4 providing effective necessary and sufficient conditions for a connected submanifold to be contained in an orbit. In Theorem 5.2 we show that two quasihomogeneous polynomials f and g having isomorphic relative Milnor algebras $M_V(f)$ and $M_V(g)$ are $\mathcal{R}_V$ -equivalent.

In Theorem 5.4 we prove that two complex-analytic hypersurfaces, one is quasihomogeneous and other is arbitrary, are determined by isomorphism of Jacobean ideals.

The Example of Gaffney and Hauser, in [6], suggests us that we can not extend our results for arbitrary analytic germs.

2. QUASIHOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS AND FILTRATIONS

We refer Arnold's book [1] for sections 2 and 3.

Definition 2.1. A holomorphic function $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, defined on the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$, is called a quasihomogeneous function of degree d with exponents $w_1, \dots, w_n$ if

$$f(\lambda^{w_1}x_1, \dots, \lambda^{w_n}x_n) = \lambda^d f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \forall \lambda > 0$$

The exponents w_i are alternatively referred to as the weights of the variable x_i .

In terms of the Taylor series $\sum f_{\underline{k}} x^{\underline{k}}$ of f , the quasihomogeneity condition means that the exponents of the nonzero terms of the series lie in the hyperplane

$$L = \{\underline{k} : w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_n k_n = d\}$$

Any quasihomogeneous function f of degree d satisfies Euler's identity

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i f_i = d.f \text{ where } f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$$

It implies that a quasihomogeneous function f belongs to its Jacobean ideal $\mathcal{J}_f$. The following are well known results.

Theorem 2.2. (Saito,[9]) *A function-germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is equivalent to a quasihomogeneous function-germ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{J}_f$.*

Theorem 2.3. (Saito,[9]) *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be function-germs such that $f \in \mathcal{J}_f$ and $g \in \mathcal{J}_g$. Then the local algebras Q_f and Q_g are isomorphic if and only if the germs f and g are equivalent.*

Consider $\mathbb{C}^n$ with a fixed coordinate system $x_1, \dots, x_n$. The algebra of formal power series in the coordinates will be denoted by $A = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. We assume that a quasihomogeneity type $\underline{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is fixed. With each such $\underline{w}$ there is associated a filtration of the ring A , defined as follows.

Definition 2.4. The monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\underline{k}}$ is said to have degree d if $\langle \underline{w}, \underline{k} \rangle = w_1 k_1 + \dots + w_n k_n = d$.

The degree of any monomial is a rational number. The exponents of all monomials of degree d lie in a single hyperplane parallel to the diagonal L .

Definition 2.5. The order d of a series (resp. polynomial) is the smallest of the degrees of the monomials that appear in that series (resp. polynomial).

The series of order larger than or equal to d form a subspace $A_d \subset A$. The order of a product is equal to the sum of the orders of the factors. Consequently, A_d is an ideal in the ring A . The family of ideals A_d constitutes a decreasing filtration of A : $A_{\acute{d}} \subset A_d$ whenever $\acute{d} > d$. We let A_{d+} denote the ideal in A formed by the series of order higher than d .

Definition 2.6. The quotient algebra A/A_{d+} is called the algebra of d -quasijets, and its elements are called d -quasijets.

Let $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a vector with nonnegative components and let $\underline{F} = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ be a map $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$.

Definition 2.7. $\underline{F}$ is said to be a quasihomogeneous map of degree $\underline{d}$ and type $\underline{w}$ if each component F_i is a quasihomogeneous function of degree d_i and type $\underline{w}$.

3. QUASIHOMOGENEOUS DIFFEOMORPHISMS AND VECTOR FIELDS

Several Lie groups and algebras are associated with the filtration defined in the ring A of power series by the type of quasihomogeneity $\underline{w}$. In the case of ordinary homogeneity these are the general linear group, the group of k -jets of diffeomorphisms, its subgroup of k -jets with $(k-1)$ -jet equal to the identity, and their quotient groups. Their analogues for the case of a quasihomogeneous filtration are defined as follows [2].

Definition 3.1. A formal diffeomorphism $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a set of n power series $g_i \in A$ without constant terms for which the map $g^* : A \rightarrow A$ given by the rule $g^*f = f \circ g$ is an algebra isomorphism.

Definition 3.2. The diffeomorphism g is said to have order d if for every s

$$(g^* - 1)A_s \subset A_{s+d}.$$

The set of all diffeomorphisms of order $d \geq 0$ is a group G_d . The family of groups G_d yields a decreasing filtration of the group G of formal diffeomorphisms; indeed, for $\acute{d} > d \geq 0$, $G_{\acute{d}} \subset G_d$ and is a normal subgroup in G_d .

The group G_0 plays the role in the quasihomogeneous case that the full group of formal diffeomorphisms plays in the homogeneous case. We should emphasize that in the quasihomogeneous case $G_0 \neq G$ since certain diffeomorphisms have negative orders and do not belong to G_0 .

Definition 3.3. The group of d -quasijets of type $\underline{w}$ is the quotient group of the group of diffeomorphisms G_0 by the subgroup G_{d+} of diffeomorphisms of order higher than d : $J_d = G_0/G_{d+}$

Remark 3.4. In the ordinary homogeneous case our numbering differs from the standard one by 1: for us J_0 is the group of 1-jets and so on.

J_d acts as a group of linear transformations on the space A/A_{d+} of d -quasijets of functions.

A special importance is attached to the group J_0 , which is the quasihomogeneous generalization of the general linear group.

Definition 3.5. A diffeomorphism $g \in G_0$ is said to be quasihomogeneous of type $\underline{w}$ if each of the spaces of quasihomogeneous functions of degree d (and type $\underline{w}$) is invariant under the action of g^* .

The set of all quasihomogeneous diffeomorphisms is a subgroup of G_0 . This subgroup is canonically isomorphic to J_0 , the isomorphism being provided by the restriction of the canonical projection $G_0 \rightarrow J_0$.

The infinitesimal analogues of the concepts introduced above look as follows.

Definition 3.6. A formal vector field $v = \sum v_i \partial_i$, where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$, is said to have order d if differentiation in the direction of v raises the degree of any function by at least d : $L_v A_s \subset A_{s+d}$

We let g_d denote the set of all vector fields of order d . The filtration arising in this way in the Lie algebra g of vector fields (i.e., of derivations of the algebra A) is compatible with the filtrations in A and in the group of diffeomorphisms G :

1. $f \in A_d, v \in g_s \Rightarrow fv \in g_{d+s}, L_v f \in A_{d+s}$
2. The module $g_d, d \geq 0$, is a Lie algebra w.r.t. the Poisson bracket of vector fields.
3. The Lie algebra g_d is an ideal in the Lie algebra g_0 .
4. The Lie algebra j_d of the Lie group J_d of d -quasijets of diffeomorphisms is equal to the quotient algebra g_0/g_{d+} .
5. The quasihomogeneous vector fields of degree 0 form a finite dimensional Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra g_0 ; this subalgebra is canonically isomorphic to the Lie algebra j_0 of the group of 0-jets of diffeomorphisms.

The Lie algebra a of a quasihomogeneous vector field of degree 0 is spanned, as a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space, by all monomial fields $x^{\underline{P}} \partial_i$ for which $\langle \underline{P}, \underline{w} \rangle = w_i$. For example, the n fields $x_i \partial_i$ belong to a for any $\underline{w}$.

Example 3.7. Consider the quasihomogeneous polynomial $f = x^2 y + z^2$ of degree $d = 6$ w.r.t. weights $(2, 2, 3)$. Note that the Lie algebra of quasihomogeneous vector fields of degree 0 is spanned by

$$a = \langle x^{\underline{P}} \partial_i : \langle \underline{P}, \underline{w} \rangle = w_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle = \langle x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rangle$$

4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We recall here some basic facts on semialgebraic sets, which are also called constructible sets, especially in the complex case. For a more complete introduction we can see [7], chapter 1.

Definition 4.1. Let M be a smooth algebraic variety over K ($K = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ as usual.)

(i) **Complex Case.** A subset $A \subset M$ is called semialgebraic if A belongs to the Boolean subalgebra generated by the Zariski closed subsets of M in the Boolean algebra $P(M)$ of all subsets of M .

(ii) **Real Case.** A subset $A \subset M$ is called semialgebraic if A belongs to Boolean subalgebra generated by the open sets $U_f = \{x \in U; f(x) > 0\}$ where $U \subset M$ is an algebraic open subset in M and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an algebraic function, in the Boolean algebra $P(M)$ of all subsets of M .

By definition, it follows that the class of semialgebraic subsets of M is closed under finite unions, finite intersections and complements. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an algebraic mapping among the smooth algebraic varieties M and N and if $B \subset N$ is semialgebraic, then clearly $f^{-1}(B)$ is semialgebraic in M . Conversely, we have the following basic result.

Theorem 4.2. (*TARSKI-SEIDENBERG-CHEVALLEY*)

If $A \subset M$ is semialgebraic, then $f(A) \subset N$ is also semialgebraic.

Next consider the following useful result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be an algebraic group acting (algebraically) on a smooth algebraic variety M . Then the corresponding orbits are smooth semialgebraic subsets in M .*

Let $m : G \times M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth action. In order to decide whether two elements $x_0, x_1 \in M$ are G -equivalent, we try to find a path (a homotopy) $P = \{x_t; t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that P is entirely contained in a G -orbit. It turns out that this naive approach works quite well and the next result gives effective necessary and sufficient conditions for a connected submanifold (in our case the path P) to be contained in an orbit.

Mather's Lemma 4.4. ([8]) *Let $m : G \times M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth action and $P \subset M$ a connected smooth submanifold. Then P is contained in a single G -orbit if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (a) $T_x(G.x) \supset T_x P$, for any $x \in P$.
- (b) $\dim T_x(G.x)$ is constant for $x \in P$.

5. POLYNOMIALS WITH ISOMORPHIC RELATIVE MILNOR ALGEBRAS ARE EQUIVALENT

For arbitrary (i.e. not necessary with isolated singularities) quasihomogeneous polynomials we establish the following results.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f, g \in H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C}) = H_{\underline{w}}^d$ be two quasihomogeneous polynomials of degree d and $\Phi \in H_{\underline{w}}^r(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ be a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree r w.r.t. weights $\underline{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ such that $\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) = \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)$, where $\Phi^{-1}(0) = V$ is a hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Then $f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$.*

Proof. To prove this claim choose an appropriate submanifold of $H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ containing f and g and then apply Mather's lemma to get the result.

Let $f, g \in H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) = \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)$. Set $f_t = (1 - t)f + tg \in H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$. Consider the $\mathcal{R}_V$ -equivalence action on $H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ under the group $\mathcal{R}_V^0 = \mathcal{R}_V \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad T_{f_t}(\mathcal{R}_V^0 \cdot f_t) = \mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d = \langle df_t(\xi_i) : i = 1, \dots, p \rangle \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d \subset T_{f_t}(J_0 \cdot f_t)$$

where $df_t(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x^{\underline{P}} \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x^{\underline{P}} [(1 - t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + t \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}]$, $\langle \underline{P}, \underline{w} \rangle = w_j$.

We have the inclusion of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces

$$(5.2) \quad T_{f_t}(\mathcal{R}_V^0 \cdot f_t) = \langle df_t(\xi_i) \rangle \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d \subset \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d$$

with equality for $t = 0$ and $t = 1$.

Let's show that we have equality for all $t \in [0, 1]$ except finitely many values. Take $\dim(\mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d) = \dim(\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d) = s$ (say). Let's fix $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$ a basis of $\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d$. Consider the s polynomials corresponding to the generators of the space (5.1):

$$\alpha_i(t) = df_t(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x^{\underline{P}} \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x^{\underline{P}} [(1 - t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + t \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}], \quad \langle \underline{P}, \underline{w} \rangle = w_j$$

We can express each $\alpha_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ in terms of above mentioned fixed basis as

$$(5.3) \quad \alpha_i(t) = \phi_{i1}(t)e_1 + \dots + \phi_{is}(t)e_s, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, s$$

where each $\phi_{ij}(t)$ is linear in t . Consider the matrix of transformation corresponding to the eqs. (5.3)

$$(\phi_{ij}(t))_{s \times s} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(t) & \phi_{12}(t) & \dots & \phi_{1s}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{s1}(t) & \phi_{s2}(t) & \dots & \phi_{ss}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

having rank at most s . Note that the equality

$$\mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \cap H_{\underline{w}}^d$$

holds for those values of t in $\mathbb{C}$ for which the rank of above matrix is precisely s . We have the $s \times s$ -matrix whose determinant is a polynomial of degree s in t and by the fundamental theorem of algebra it has at most s roots in $\mathbb{C}$ for which rank of the matrix of transformation will be less than s . Therefore, the above-mentioned equality does not hold for at most finitely many values, say $t_1, \dots, t_q$ where $1 \leq q \leq s$.

It follows that the dimension of the space (5.1) is constant for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ except finitely many values $\{t_1, \dots, t_q\}$.
For an arbitrary smooth path

$$\alpha : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_q\}$$

with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) = 1$, we have the connected smooth submanifold

$$P = \{f_t = (1 - \alpha(t))f(x) + \alpha(t)g(x) : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

of $H_{\underline{w}}^d$. By the above, it follows $\dim T_{f_t}(\mathcal{R}_V^0.f_t)$ is constant for $f_t \in P$.

Now, to apply Mather's lemma, we need to show that the tangent space to the submanifold P is contained in that to the orbit $\mathcal{R}_V^0.f_t$ for any $f_t \in P$. One clearly has

$$T_{f_t}P = \{\dot{f}_t = -\dot{\alpha}(t)f(x) + \dot{\alpha}(t)g(x) : \forall t \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

Therefore, by Euler formula 2.1, we have

$$T_{f_t}P \subset T_{f_t}(\mathcal{R}_V^0.f_t)$$

By Mather's lemma the submanifold P is contained in a single orbit. Hence the result. $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f, g \in H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ and $\Phi \in H_{\underline{w}}^r(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$. If $M_V(f) \simeq M_V(g)$ (isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra) then $f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$.*

Proof. We show firstly that an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras

$$\varphi : (M_V(g))_l = \left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)} \right)_l \xrightarrow{\simeq} (M(f))_l = \left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)} \right)_l$$

is induced by an isomorphism $u : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $u^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$. Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l} & \xrightarrow{\quad u^* \quad} & (\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l} \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\
J_{\underline{w}}^{d+l} & \xrightarrow{\quad u^* \quad} & J_{\underline{w}}^{d+l} \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
(M_V(g))_l & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\varphi} & (M_V(f))_l \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Define the morphism $u^* : J_{\underline{w}}^{d+l} \rightarrow J_{\underline{w}}^{d+l}$ by

$$(5.4) \quad u^*(x_i) = Li(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{\alpha_j} + \sum a_{ik_1 \dots k_n} x_{k_1}^{\beta_1} \dots x_{k_n}^{\beta_n}; \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where $k_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ & $w_{k_1}\beta_1 + \dots + w_{k_n}\beta_n = \deg_{\underline{w}}(x_i) = w_j\alpha_j$, which is well defined by commutativity of diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
x_i & \xrightarrow{\quad u^* \quad} & Li \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
\hat{x}_i & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\varphi} & \hat{L}_i
\end{array}$$

Note that the isomorphism φ is a degree preserving map and is also given by the same morphism u^* . Therefore, u^* is an isomorphism.

Now we show that $u^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$.

For every $G \in (\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}$, we have $u^*(G) \in (\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}$ by commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\quad u^* \quad} & F = u^*(G) \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
\hat{G} & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & \hat{F} = \hat{G}
\end{array}$$

It implies that $u^*((\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}) \subset (\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}$. As u^* is an isomorphism, therefore it is invertible and by repeating the above argument for its inverse, we have $u^*((\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}) \supset (\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}$.

Therefore, $u^*((\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}) = (\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0))_{d+l}$. It follows that $u^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$. Thus, u^* is an isomorphism with $u^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$. By eq. (5.4), the map $u : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ can be defined by

$$u(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (L_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, L_n(z_1, \dots, z_n))$$

where $L_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{\alpha_j} + \sum a_{ik_1 \dots k_n} x_{k_1}^{\beta_1} \dots x_{k_n}^{\beta_n}$; $i = 1, \dots, n$, $k_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ & $w_{k_1}\beta_1 + \dots + w_{k_n}\beta_n = \deg_{\underline{w}}(x_i) = w_j\alpha_j$.

Note that u is an isomorphism by Prop. 3.16 [5], p.23. In this way, we have shown that the isomorphism φ is induced by the isomorphism $u : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $u^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$.

Consider $u^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \langle g_1 \circ u, \dots, g_n \circ u \rangle = \mathcal{J}_{g \circ u}(\Theta_V^0)$, where g_j are the generators of $\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{J}_{g \circ u}(\Theta_V^0) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \Rightarrow g \circ u \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} f$, by Lemma 5.1. Hence, by definition there exists $h \in \mathcal{R}_V$ such that $g \circ u = f \circ h$. Since $\mathcal{R}_V$ is a group, therefore $h^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_V$.

Taking $u = h^{-1}$ we have $g \circ h \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$. Thus, $f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$. □

Remark 5.3. The converse implication, namely

$$f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g \Rightarrow M_V(f) \simeq M_V(g)$$

always holds (even for analytic germs f, g defining IHS).

Proof. Let $f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$. Then, by definition, there exists an analytic isomorphism $h \in \mathcal{R}_V$ such that $f \circ h = g$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}_{f \circ h}(\Theta_V^0) = \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0) \Rightarrow h^*(\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)$. By Prop. 3.16 [5], p.23 h^* is analytic isomorphism.

Thus, $M_V(f) \cong M_V(g)$ by the commutativity of the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0) & \xrightarrow{h^*} & \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\ \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] & \xrightarrow{h^*} & \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\ M_V(g) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M_V(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

□

Theorem 5.4. *Let $f \in H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C}) = H_{\underline{w}}^d$ be a quasihomogeneous polynomials of degree d and $\Phi \in H_{\underline{w}}^r(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ be a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree r w.r.t. weights $\underline{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Let g be an arbitrary analytic germ such that $\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \cong \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)$, where $\Phi^{-1}(0) = V$ is a hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Then $f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0) \cong \mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)$. Then there exists an analytic isomorphism $h \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $h^*(\mathcal{J}_g(\Theta_V^0)) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$ by Prop. 3.16 [5], p.23.

It follows that $\mathcal{J}_{g \circ h}(\Theta_V^0) = \mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V^0)$, where $g \circ h$ is quasihomogeneous polynomial.

It implies that $g \circ h \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} f$ by Lemma 5.1. Hence, by definition there exists $u \in \mathcal{R}_V$ such that $g \circ h = f \circ u$. Since $\mathcal{R}_V$ is a group, therefore $u^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_V$.

Taking $h = u^{-1}$ we have $g \circ h \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$. Thus, $f \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_V}{\sim} g$. $\square$

The following Example of Gaffney and Hauser, in [6], suggests us that we can not extend the Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.4 for arbitrary analytic germs.

Example 5.5. Let $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be any function satisfying $h \notin \mathcal{J}_h \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ i.e. $h \notin H_{\underline{w}}^d(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$. Define a family $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ by $f_t(x, y, z) = h(x) + (1 + z + t)h(y)$, and let $(X_t, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}, 0)$ be the hypersurface defined by f_t . Note that

$$\mathcal{J}_{f_t} = \left\langle \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(x), \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j}(y), h(y) \right\rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

On the other hand, the family $\{(X_t, 0)\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ is not trivial i.e. $(X_t, 0) \not\cong (X_0, 0)$: For, if $\{f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ were trivial, we would have by Proposition 2, §1, [6]

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} = h(y) \in (f_t) + m_{2n+1}\mathcal{J}_{f_t} = (f_t) + m_{2n+1}\mathcal{J}_{h(x)} + m_{2n+1}\mathcal{J}_{h(y)} + m_{2n+1}(h(y))$$

Solving for $h(y)$ implies either $h(y) \in \mathcal{J}_{h(y)}$ or $h(x) \in \mathcal{J}_{h(x)}$ contradicting the assumption on h .

It follows that f_t is not $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to f_0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnol'd, V.I.: Dynamical Systems VI, Singularity Theory I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1993).
- [2] Arnol'd, V.I.: Normal Forms of Functions in Neighbourhoods of Degenerate Critical Points, Usp. Mat. Nauk 29, No. 2, 11-49 (1974). English transl.: Russ. Math. Surv. 29, No. 2, 10-50 (1974).
- [3] J.W. Bruce and M.Roberts, Critical Points of Functions on Analytic Varieties, Topology, 27 (1988), no. 1, 57-90.
- [4] J. Damon, On the Freeness of Equisingular Deformations of Plane Curve Singularities, Topology and its Applications, 118 (2002), 31-43.
- [5] A. Dimca: Topics on Real and Complex Singularities, Vieweg, 1987.
- [6] Terence Gaffney and Herwig Hauser: Characterizing Singularities of Varieties and of Mappings, Invent. math. 81, 427-447 (1985).

- [7] Gibson, C.G.: Wirthmüller, K.: du Plessis, A.A. and Looijenga, E.J.N.: Topological Stability of Smooth Mappings, Lecture Notes in Math. 552, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [8] J.N. Mather: Stability of C^∞ -mappings IV: Classification of stable germs by $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, Publ. Math. IHES 37 (1970), 223-248.
- [9] K.Saito: Quasihomogene Isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, Invent. Math., 14, No. 2, 123-142 (1971).

IMRAN AHMED, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COMSATS INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, M.A. JINNAH CAMPUS, DEFENCE ROAD, OFF RAIWIND ROAD LAHORE, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: `drimranahmed@ciitlahore.edu.pk`

MARIA APARECIDA SOARES RUAS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, AVENIDA TRABALHADOR SÃOCARLENSE 400, SÃO CARLOS-S.P., BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `maasruas@icmc.usp.br`